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Abstract
This purpose of this study is to determine level of employees’ job satisfaction and to investigate effect of gender on employees’ job satisfaction. Important factors that have an impact on job satisfaction are supervision, relationship with co-workers, present pay, nature of work, and opportunities for promotion. Data for this study was collected from employees of three private airline companies in Iran. Descriptive analysis performed to determine level of employees’ job satisfaction. In addition, independent-sample t-test was utilized to empirically test relationship between employees’ job satisfaction and their gender. Findings suggest that employees are moderately satisfied with their job and there is no significant difference between male and female employees’ job satisfaction.

Keywords: Employees’ Job Satisfaction, Gender, Airline, Iran

1. Introduction
Organizations strongly desire job satisfaction from their employees (Oshagbemi, 2003). Due to important role of human resource on organization performance, they try to keep employees satisfied. Satisfied employees would produce superior performance in optimal time which leads to increase profits. When employees are satisfied with their work, would be more creative and innovative and offer advances that allow company to evolve positively over time with changes in market conditions. On the other hand, a lack of job satisfaction results in a low level of employee commitment that, in turn, affect performance and the achievement of organizational goals. Farrell and Stamm (1988) draw the conclusion that high employee satisfaction will reduce the happening of the absenteeism, accident, and employee stress, improve employee satisfaction with life and thus increase productivity and profits. Employees’ job satisfaction in organizations and institutions has given close attention by researchers since mid-20th century after the emergence of Maslow’s theory of Need Hierarchy in 1943. Literature in this area is filled with various analytical studies (Ajayi, 1998; Chimaniire et al., 2007; Williams, 1998).

In competitive and unpredictable phenomena, organizations try to keep and enhance their place. Many industries operate in situation, where employees play an important role in the product and service exchange. In service company such as airlines, employees have significant effect on organization performance. Airline companies try to offer high quality services, maximize customer loyalty, gain higher market share, higher profitability, and finally customer satisfaction which is the ultimate goal of these companies. These companies may reach these long-term and short-term goals with satisfied employees. It means organizations that desire to improve their customer satisfaction must be concerned about internal issues related to employees’ satisfaction and view their employees as customer too (Harter et al., 2002; Wangenheim et al., 2007).

In Airlines, employees’ behavior is critical and poor treatment of customers may directly impact on their image (Hunter, 2006). In airline industry in Iran, there is intense competition between private and public companies to gain higher portion of market share. So, these companies try to increase their employees’ satisfaction to enhance their performance. Accordingly, the aviation professionals not only have to gain advanced and comprehensive knowledge, but also needs holistic understanding of airline industry’s needs based on today’s rapidly changing air transport environment.
The fundamental objective of this study is to determine level of employees’ job satisfaction among three private airlines in Iran. Hence, the research tries to investigate effect of gender on employees’ job satisfaction. It is anticipated that the study would provide worthy information not only to academic community, but also to practitioners that would facilitate them to make knowledgeable managerial decisions in Iran. Have a better understanding of aspects of employees’ job satisfaction is vital for service companies. This will allow companies to design human resource management system that is able to motivate, attract, and retain their employees (Ralston et al., 1997). In line with the objective, the rest of the paper describes definition of job satisfaction, theories related to job satisfaction, determinants of job satisfaction, and methodology adopted. Subsequently, the main findings are presented and discussed and finally conclusions and managerial implications of the findings and research areas are discussed for further inquiry and understanding.

2. Definition of Job Satisfaction

The concept of job satisfaction was first developed from the Hawthorne studies of the late 1920s and early 1930s by Elton Mayo at the Hawthorne plant of the Western Electric Company in Chicago. The result was that the emotions of employees can influence their working behaviors. Social relationships and psychological factors are the main causes of job satisfaction and productivity in employees (Robbins, 2002).

Based on comparison among review of literature in job satisfaction, many researchers define job satisfaction. Job Satisfaction is “an affective and emotional response to various facets of one’s job” (Kreitner and Kinicki 2004), and Locke (1968) describes as “being an emotional response that results from the employee’s perceived fulfillment of their needs and what they believes the company to have offered”. Although recently researchers have tried to reflect current theoretical underpinnings of job satisfaction, Robert Hoppock’s (1935) definition which was one of the earliest definitions of this concept is still the most cited one. He says job satisfaction is “any combination of psychological, physiological, and environmental circumstances that causes a person truthfully to say, ‘I am satisfied with my job’” (Hoppock, 1935). The concept of job satisfaction and its definition have continually grown, expanded and unfolded through the previous decades. Although basically it is a work-related positive affective reaction in majority of the definitions, less consistency can be observed in the factors that bring about job satisfaction. This inconsistency may be because job satisfaction can be influenced by various factors including personal traits and characteristics of the job (Wexley and Yukl, 1984). To get deep understanding of employees, characteristics of jobs and their relation to the issue of job satisfaction various theories have been formulated. These theories aim at developing appropriate research frameworks for further studies on this concept.

3. Theories of job satisfaction

There are numerous theories attempt to explain job satisfaction, but two conceptual frameworks seem to be more prominent in the literature. These frameworks are named as content theories and process theories. In the following sections the main theories and theorists from each framework are discussed to clarify the relevance and direction of them to the current study.

3.1. Content Theories

Based on Content theory, job satisfaction is gained when an employee feels that his job gives him the sense of growth and self-actualization. The discussion of these two factors directly links to Maslow’s hierarchy of needs. Content theories assume that all employees in the organization have the same set of needs and therefore predict the characteristics that should be present in the job. These theories emphasize on the factors and needs that encourage and inspire the behavior as well as performance (Nel et al., 2004).

3.2. Process theories

In process theories, job satisfaction is explained by the extent to which an individual’s expectations and values are met in a job (Gruneberg, 1979). Based on these theories job-holders’ behavior is driven by their needs. These theories focus on employees’ diverse needs and the cognitive process behind these diversities. In these theories, sources, and causes of employees’ behaviors, as well as the motives that affect the intensity and direction of those behaviors are given attention. A summary of theoretical frameworks and relevant theories has been shown in Table 1.
4. Determinant of job satisfaction

The conceptual domain of job satisfaction is too broad, since it involves the job and its environment characteristics. Thus, in order to manage the broadness and obtain measures of job satisfaction two approaches have been developed: global scale and facet scale. While the former attempts to combine an employee’s reaction to different aspects of his job single, integrated response, the latter tries to cover each of the principal areas separately within the general satisfaction domain. Regard to these two approaches, several researchers offer different measurements tools for measuring job satisfaction. Smith et al. (1969) define five facets for measuring job satisfaction. Based on their instrument, job satisfaction consists of several facets, including satisfaction with the supervisor, relationship with coworkers, present pay, nature of work, and opportunities for promotion.

4.1. Nature of work

The nature of the work performed by employees has a significant effect on their level of job satisfaction (Larwood, 1984; Landy, 1989; Luthans, 2006; Griffen and Moorhead, 2009). Robbins et al. (2003) refer to the work itself as “the extent to which the job provides the individual with stimulating tasks, opportunities for learning and personal growth, and the chance to be responsible and accountable for results”.

Sharma and Bhaskar (1991) assume that most significant influence over job satisfaction of employees appears from the nature of the work given to them. In addition, they assert job satisfaction can be achieved by employees if the job requires sufficient variety, discretion, challenge and scope for using an individual’s own skills and abilities. The study that was done on Indian managers by Khaleque and Choudhary (1984) shown that the most essential factor to verify top managers’ job satisfaction is the nature of work. It was also found out that the job security is considered as the most significant factor among managers of lower rank job satisfaction. In addition, Landy (1989) believes that job satisfaction is achieved for jobs which are found to be interesting to employees.

4.2. Present Pay

Many researchers have done studies regard to the influence of pay on job satisfaction (Luthans, 2006; Taylor and West, 1992; Robbins, 2004). Luthans (2006) claimed that apart from helping people to achieve and obtain their basic needs, salaries also work to satisfy the higher level needs of people. Taylor and West (1992) figured out that job satisfaction is affected by the payment levels affect. It is reported that most public employees will feel less satisfy with their jobs if they compare their salaries to those who work for the private sector. Robbins et al. (2003) supposed that most employees will look for payment systems that believed to be fair, definite, and aligned with their expectations. Satisfaction is expected to be achieved if the payment seems to be equitable, equal with job demands, individual skill level and community payment standards. In contrast, the findings of survey performed by Brainard (2005) figured out that job satisfaction is less likely to be connected with the payment and benefits.

4.3. Opportunities for Promotion

Several researchers share the opinion that job satisfaction has a great connection with is opportunities for promotion (Pergamit and Veum, 1999; Sclafane, 1999; Ellickson and Logsdon, 2002; Peterson et al., 2003). Promotion as defined by Heery and Noon (2001) refers to “the action of shifting an employee up the organization hierarchy which will normally bring to an increase of responsibility and status and a better remuneration package among the individuals who are promoted”. Kreitner and Kinicki (2004) mentioned that job satisfaction and the promotion positive relationship relies on supposed fairness by employees. A lot of people will experience satisfaction when they think that they have good future opportunities as supposed by Drafke and Kossen (2002).
This can be interpreted as the opportunities for progression and development in their present workplace or providing better chances to look out for alternative employment. It is assumed that the level of job satisfaction will go down if people think that they have less career advancement opportunities. McCormick (2008) mentioned that job satisfaction among employees with promotional opportunities will rely on the promotions equity.

4.4. Supervision

Many Researches reveal that supervision and job satisfaction has a positive relationship (Peterson et al., 2003; Koustelios, 2001; Smucker et al., 2003). According to Heery and Noon (2001), a supervisor is defined as “a front-line manager who is responsible for the supervision of employees”. Nel et al. (2004) regard the supervisors as employees who regulate the activities of lower-level employees. Staudt (1997) research has noticed that respondents are probably to feel satisfied generally with their job if they feel satisfied with their supervisors. Supervision outlines a very important role that has to do with employees’ job satisfaction in terms of the supervisor’s capability to give support of emotional and technical along with direction with any task that has to do with their job (Robbins et al., 2003).

According to the study performed by Packard and Kauppi (1999), the employees with supervisors showing styles of the democratic management will experience higher job satisfaction in compare to those working with supervisors who displayed an autocratic kind of supervision. Brewer and Hensher (1998) mentioned that supervisors who stress deliberation and concern for employees in their leadership normally have more workers who feel satisfied and contented compared to those who practice task structuring and care more for production. Normally, employee-centered supervisors will show interest to the employees by listening to what they have to say which will result to the increase of number of satisfied employees.

4.5. Relationship with Co-workers

There are several studies that show that friendly and supportive colleagues enhance the rate of job satisfaction in a working environment (Khaleque and Choudhury, 1984; Johns, 1996; Viswesvaran et al., 1998; Kreitner and Kinicki, 2004; Luthans, 2006). This area of satisfaction is measured by how well employees get along with each other and how well they look up to their fellow employees. Markiewicz et al. (1997) figured out that the close friendships quality was related to both job satisfaction and career success among employees. Berta (2005) finds a similar result after conducting a survey among 1250 Food Brand employees. Riordan and Griffeth (1995) found that a positive relationship among co-workers improves the rate of job satisfaction. Their research shows that friendship network among coworkers influence the outcomes of workplace. It increases job satisfaction, job involvement and organizational commitment, while reduces the intention to turnover.

5. Effect of gender on job satisfaction

As one of the most important demographic factors, the relationship between gender and job satisfaction has been examined frequently. However, the results have been contradictory. Several research indicate that employees gender have effect on job satisfaction. Result of those studies suggest that either women are more satisfied with their jobs than men (Sloane and Williams, 1996; Clark, 1997; Kim, 2005) or men to be more satisfied with their jobs than women (Shapiro and Stern, 1975; Weaver, 1974).

Conversely, other studies have shown that there is no significant relationship between employees’ gender and job satisfaction (Barbash, 1976; D’Arcy et al., 1984; Murray and Atkinson, 1981; Smith et al., 1998 Oshagbemi, 2000). Donohue and Heywood (2004) also were not successful in proving gender-based differences in job satisfaction among young American and British employees.

6. Research Methodology

The population of this study consists of the employees of 3 private airline companies in Iran which called Airline1, Airline2, and Airline3. We choose only private airlines that have more than 200 employees which consider as large private companies. Cluster sampling method was used to gather data from the respondents. 912 employees were asked to participate in the survey and the questionnaires were handed over to them manually and by Email. Questionnaire formally classified as standard instrument for measuring employees’ job satisfaction. And it does consider as most appropriate tool to gather information about viewpoints of respondents (Morgan et al., 1995). The original questionnaire was developed in English and then translated into Persian. From the target sample, 328 of them responded and returned the questionnaires. After eliminating incomplete responses, 315 samples were obtained, which was a response rate of 34%.
An original questionnaire was used for this research, since there are some differences in work environment and company policies in Iran in compare to other countries. So the questionnaire developed based on detailed information which was gathered from comprehensive literature review and interviews with academic and airline industry experts. The questionnaires consisted of a set of Likert-type scales multiple-choice items (Rodeghier, 1996). The three sequential sections of the questionnaire covers:

(a) General demographic data, i.e. age, gender, marital status, position, and education levels.
(b) Employee’s satisfaction facets: i.e. opportunities for promotion, nature of work, present pay, and relation with co-workers.

To ensure that the questionnaire is complete, clear, and reliable, pilot study with 20 employees was performed. The Cronbach’s alpha was calculated by Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 18.0 software. The result shows the questions was reliable (alpha= 0.76). According to Saunders et al. (2009), an alpha value between 0.65 and 0.95 is considered as satisfactory. Table 2 shows the Cronbach’s alpha value for questionnaire.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Satisfaction</th>
<th>Reliability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nature of Work</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Pay</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervision</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunities for Promotion</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-Worker</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td><strong>0.77</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To investigate the level of job satisfaction among three airline companies, five sub-factors define that include nature of work, present pay, supervision, opportunity for promotion, and co-workers that contain statements related to each measured factor. By using the Likert-type scale ranging from very dissatisfied=1, dissatisfied=2, sometimes not satisfied or sometimes satisfied=3, satisfied=4, very satisfied=5. The frequencies of each scale, mean as well as standard deviation are calculated to show the level of job satisfaction among employees. In addition, degree of respondents’ satisfaction for each statement is determined with considering the mean value from 1-2.33 as low satisfaction, mean value between 2.34 -3.67 as medium level of satisfaction and the means over 3.67 as high satisfaction. Table 2 shows the result of descriptive analysis for level of job satisfaction. Examples of job satisfaction items include the following:

“I feel a sense of pride in doing my job.” (Satisfaction with nature of work)
“I feel I am being paid a fair amount for the work I do.” (Satisfaction with present pay)
“My supervisor is competent in doing his/ her job.” (Satisfaction with supervision)
“In my work, promotions are based on employee’s ability.” (Satisfaction with opportunities for promotion)
“I feel comfortable working with other colleagues.” (Satisfaction with relationship with co-workers)

To investigate difference in level of job satisfaction between male and female employees, Independent-Sample T-Test was performed. Independent-Sample T-Test is used to compare the mean score, on some continuous variable, for two different groups of subjects (Pallant, 2007).

7. Results and Discussion

7.1. General characteristics of participants

The first part of survey questionnaire gathered information about demographic information of respondents which is included gender, age, position, marital status, work experience, and academic education. Of 315 respondents, 41 percent were male and 59 percent were female. By looking at their employment duration in airline industry, 17.8 percent of respondents had been employed in current company for three or fewer years, 51.1 percent for 3-6 years, 22.2 percent for 7-10 years, and 8.9 percent for 10 years and more. Regarding the age of respondents, 53.3 percent fell in the age category of less than 30 years old, 40 percent fell in category of between 30 and 40 years old, 4.1 percent fell in category of between 40 and 50 years old, and only 2.5 percent of respondents fell in the age category of more than 50 years old. In addition, 45.4 percent of respondents were single and 54.6 percent were married. Table 3 shows respondents demographic characteristics in details.
Table 3. Sample Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>45.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>54.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 30 years old</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 50 years old</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic qualification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Degree</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor Degree</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master Degree</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work experience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below 3 years</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-6 years</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>51.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-10</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 10 years</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>71.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manager</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: N=315

7.2. Level of Employees’ Job Satisfaction in Airline Companies

Descriptive analysis was performed to determine level of employees’ job satisfaction among three airline companies in Iran. The results show that employees are moderately satisfied with their job since mean value for overall employees satisfaction is 3.23. Moreover, level of job satisfaction for each sub factor calculated separately and the results show employees are most satisfied with their supervisor (mean = 3.71; SD = 0.81), followed by the relationship with co-worker (mean = 3.43; SD = 0.61) and the nature of work (mean = 3.33; SD = 0.9). They are however, less satisfied with opportunities for promotion (mean = 2.93; SD = 0.8) and present pay (mean = 2.73; SD = 1.04). It means Respondents of research are most satisfied with supervision, while they are least satisfied with their present pay. The level of satisfaction with each dimension of job satisfaction and overall employees’ job satisfaction at three airlines is shown in table 4. In addition, according to Table 5, majority of employees which encompass 76 percent of respondents are moderately satisfied with their work; 21.3 percent of respondents are highly satisfied with their job; and the rest, which encompass only 2.7 percent of respondents, have low level of satisfaction.

An interesting result is that, employees in airline2, are at least in medium level of satisfaction with their job. Regard to low level of satisfaction with present pay, companies should pay special attention to current pay system. It may current pay policy do not meet the needs and expects of employees. They generally look for fair, unambiguous pay systems which come up to their expectations (Robbins et al. 2003). Accordingly, satisfaction emerges from reasonable payment, job demands, the level of employee skills, and standards of the community pay. Therefore it is imperative for organizations to execute appropriate pay policies that employees feel current payment system is fair and accordance with their efforts in work.

Table 4: Level of Employees Job Satisfaction among Three Airlines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Airline 1</th>
<th>Airline 2</th>
<th>Airline 3</th>
<th>All companies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of Work</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>0.817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Pay</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.795</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>1.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervision</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>0.686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunities for promotion</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>0.783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship with Co-Worker</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>0.528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>0.528</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Another factor that got low mark in level of satisfaction is opportunities for promotion. Based on collected data, the average mean level is 2.93. It shows respondents have medium level of job satisfaction with their opportunities for promotion. Majority of participants believe promotions are not based on employee’s ability. In addition, they state they don’t receive regular promotion in their work. They may feel satisfied when their job prospects look good (Drafke and Kossen, 2002). Based on this, satisfaction may be the consequence of opportunities for advancement or growth in a job; otherwise, employees may decide to change their employment for better prospects. They maintain that if people feel they have limited opportunities for career advancement, their job satisfaction may decrease. From this, it is likely that job satisfaction decreases when career advancement is limited. It is therefore imperative that top managers pay special attention to this dimension of job satisfaction. When employees feel they have fair and regular opportunity for promote in their job, try to do their best. It’s a win-win situation, which increase organization performance and from other side give chance to employees to promote in their job.

### Table 5: Percentage of Employees’ Satisfaction with Various Aspect of Job Satisfaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Airline 1</th>
<th>Airline 2</th>
<th>Airline 3</th>
<th>All companies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nature of Work</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Pay</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervision</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunities for promotion</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship with Co-Worker</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Job Sat</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to statistical results, in airline1, employees are most satisfied with their coworkers and least satisfied with present pay. In fact, employees are highly satisfied with their fellow with mean value of 3.72, while they are in low level of satisfaction with their current pay system since its mean value is 2.16. Furthermore, for more than half of employees (55 percent) are dissatisfied with present pay, while only 2 percent are highly satisfied with their present pay system. Based on responses to the questionnaire statements relate to present pay factor, most of employees in airline1 believe that their salary is not adequate for their daily expenses and the company don’t pay fair amount of money for the work they do. According to Adams (1965), employees must feel that there is an equitable balance between the amount of work performed and the salary received. In other words, if a worker feels that the salary is either high or less for amount of work performed, dissatisfaction may occur. So, it is suggested top managers of airline1 should take action to redesign current pay system with considering employees expectations and their performance. It is recommended to promoted policy of perception of pay-for-performance for generating satisfaction with pay.

Among three airlines, employees of airline2 have highest level of job satisfaction since its mean value is 3.5. Furthermore, 37.3 percent of employees are highly satisfied and the rest (62.7 percent) are moderately satisfied with their job. According to Table3, although employees are at least moderately satisfied with all facets of job satisfaction, they are less satisfied with co-workers and present pay in compare to other facets. Regard to employees response, they believe that their colleagues don’t encourage each other sufficiently in doing their assigned tasks. Employees, who lack social support at work, experience more stress, have less coping techniques, and are generally less satisfied (Maynard, 1986; Grebner et al., 2003).

Fellow employees can satisfy many social needs, and sympathetic and supportive co-workers can increase job satisfaction (Green, 2000). In addition, employees of this company believed that their supervisor’s manner is in positive way, means that they treat employees with respect, appreciate their efforts, and care employees’ needs. Studies show that these positive interactions between employees and supervisors will cause to satisfaction (Bruce and Blackburn, 1992). Supervision is a complex variable however, and it is unrealistic to assume that job satisfaction can be guaranteed as long as supervisors interact positively with their employees. So other factors should also consider for evaluating cause of satisfaction or dissatisfaction. Employees of airline3 are moderately satisfied with their job, since its mean value is 3.19. According to table 4, majority of employees which encompass 77.9 percent of employees are moderately satisfied with their work; 18 percent are highly satisfied with their job; and the rest, which encompass only 4.1 percent of respondents, have low level of satisfaction.
Similar to airline2, employees of airline3, have highest level of satisfaction with their supervisors in compare to other facets of satisfaction. Although employees are in medium level of satisfaction with opportunities for promotion in this company, this factor has got lowest rank among all factors. Based on the results extracted from the questionnaire employees think that current promotion policy is not as much as fair and also is not based on employees’ abilities. However, Kreitner and Kinicki (2004) state that a positive relationship between getting promotion and job satisfaction depends on employees attitude towards equity. McCormick (2008) in this regard believes that employees’ satisfaction of promotional opportunities changes according to fairness of promotion. It is suggested to revise promotion policies by considering employees comments and feedback about this issue.

7.3. Effect of Gender Difference on Employees’ Job Satisfaction
As far as the relationship between job satisfaction and socio-demographic characteristics; Independent-Sample T-Test, which describe the shape of the sampling distribution to compare means of two groups (Runyon et al., 1996), have been performed in order to find out any differences in opinion on all dimensions of satisfaction between male and female employees working in these companies. The results of a Independent-Sample T-Test which is shown in Table 6, indicate that overall job satisfaction is slightly related to the gender of the employees, but is not statistically significant at the 0.05 level (p = 0.7). In addition, the mean of job satisfaction score in each facet was plotted against the difference in gender. As shown in table 6, the results indicate a weak relationship between respondents’ gender and facets of job satisfaction. It means that there is no significant difference of perception between male and female employees and this is well supported with extensive literature from the past that talks about the differences between men and women in term of their attitudes towards job-related factors of employee satisfaction (Barbash, 1976; D’Arcy et al., 1984; Iiacqua et al., 1995; Oshagbemi, 2000; Donohue and Heywood, 2004).

Table 6: Comparison of Male and Female Employees towards Job Satisfaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satisfaction Dimension</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nature of Work</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>-.657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Pay</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>-1.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervision</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>-.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunities for Promotion</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>.708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship with Co-Worker</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>.768</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Conclusion
In this study which aimed to determined level of job satisfaction of employees at three private airlines in Iran, majority of employees surveyed reported that they were moderately satisfied with their job. The employees most satisfactory factors from high to low are: supervision, relationship with co-worker, nature of work, opportunities for promotion, and present pay.

Based on the result, although employees are moderately satisfied with present pay, this factor got low rank among employees of these three companies. So, it can be concluded that employees are not sufficiently satisfied with their present pay. On the other hand, satisfaction with supervision was placed in top rank factors in all three companies. It can be concluded that supervisors play their role relatively good.

The other objective of this research was to investigate effect of gender on employees’ satisfaction. The result shows that there was no significant difference between male and female employees’ satisfaction. In addition, there was no significant difference between male and female respondents toward each facet of job satisfaction.

Like any study, design of current study is subject to limitations. This study exclusively used questionnaire to poll level of employees’ satisfaction in three private airline companies by considering five facet of job satisfaction. First of all, it is suggested to use qualitative methods beside quantiative methods in future researches to get more accurate results. In addition, this survey was conducted in private sector. Future research may include both private and public airline companies. Further, there are many other factors that can be considered to determine employees’ satisfaction which can be added to expand the study in future.
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