Nūr Muḥammad as the First Being: An Analysis of al-Ghumārī and al-Hararī's Criticism of Ḥadīth Jābir

Mohd Asyran Safwan Kamaruzaman (First Author)

Akademi Pengajian Islam Kontemporari Universiti Teknologi MARA Kampus Kuala Pilah Negeri Sembilan

Mohd Haidhar Kamarzaman (Corresponding Author)

Research Centre for Theology and Philosophy Faculty of Islamic Studies Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia 43600, BANGI, Selangor.

Abstract

The doctrine or belief in Nūr Muḥammad is very popular among the practitioners of tarekat and tasawwuf. Some of the practitioners of the tarekat make this Nūr Muḥammad as one of the Islamic creed to be believed. This belief in Nūr Muḥammad became a polemic among Muslims that invited the scholars to study the authenticity of the narration (riwāyah)of Ḥadīth Jābir which is the basis for the creed of Nūr Muḥammad. In addition, the scholars also study the implications associated with the Muslim creed for those who believe in the doctrine of Nūr Muḥammad. This study focuses on the critique and commentary of two contemporary hadith, creed and tarekat figures namely al-Ghumārī (d. 1993) and al-Hararī (d. 2008) and further analyzes their critique of the doctrine of Nūr Muḥammad based on the Manhaj Ahli Sunnah Waljamaah.

Keywords: Nūr Muḥammad, First Being, Ḥadīth Jābir, al-Ghumārī, al-Hararī

Introduction

Hadīth Jābir is a hadith based on its narration to a companion of the Prophetic named Jābir ibn 'Abd Allāh r.a about the earliest creatures created by Allah . It is narrated that Jābir ibn 'Abd Allāh r.a once asked Rasulullah what was the first creature created by Allah . So answered by Rasulullah in this narration, Nūr Muḥammad who was created by Allah before anything was created. Based on this narration, then Nūr Muḥammad became a polemic among Muslims, especially those who immerse themselves in the world of tarekat and tasawwuf. This hadith is used as a foundation to believe that the first creature created by Allah is Rasulullah so to be the first Prophet based on this Hadīth Jābir. The question that arises is about the validity of the use of Hadīth Jābir as a proof that forms the thoughtor belief that the first creature created by Allah was Rasulullah and how far this belief affects the creed of a Muslim.

Creed Argumentations (dalīl) on the side of Ahli Sunnah Waljamaah

Basically, the use of dalīl agreed upon by the scholars of Ahli Sunnah Waljamaah is 'aqlī and naqlīwhich consists the al-Qur'an, hadith and ijmā' (Al-Asfarāyinī 1940). Ahli Sunnah Waljamaah use 'aql or mind as dalīlin their creed. In contrast to the Mu'tazilah who use 'aql(mind) alone as the basis of their creed, Ahli Sunnah Waljamaah combine the use of both 'agl and nagl. The function of this 'agl is as a verifier of truth as mentioned by 'Abd al-Karīm al-Khāṭib which according to him, a common sense('aql al-salīm)will surely be able to prove the existence of God (al-Khāṭib 1962). Among the examples that can be seen in the use of 'aql by a person is to see the existence of this universe as proof of the existence of God . With perfect mind ('aql') it is possible to conclude that it is impossible for a painting to exist without a painter, writing without a writer, construction without a builder. The atheists themselves cannot accept in their minds that a beautiful building, has no builder. So with this reasoning argument, it is clear that it is impossible for this universe to exist without the Creator. Of course there is an Almighty Creator who makes and arranges this universe. The use of the intellect as an instrument of truth and ma'rifah has been agreed upon by scholars since from ancient times to the present. However, the use of 'aql is limited to the guidance of revelation i.e. the al-Quran and hadith (al-Baqillānī 2000). Without the right guidance, the intellect cannot produce a good and thoughtful argument. The function of the 'aql is like the function of sight in human beings through the limbs of the eyes, while the function of revelation is like the sun that shines its light. The eye desires light to see, so if a person uses his eyes to see at the moment the sunlight shines, then his vision and the things he sees are clear.

This is the analogy given by scholars to the use of mind ('aql) guided by revelation (Nuh 'Ali Salmān 2003). Ahli Sunnah Waljamaah interact with 'aql in accordance with the Word of Allah (al-A'raf 7: 185):

Do they not look into the realm of the heavens and the earth and everything that Allah has created and [think] that perhaps their appointed time has come near? So in what statement hereafter will they believe?

This can be seen in various works of Ahli Sunnah Waljamaah scholars from al-Ashā'irah and al-Māturīdiyyah such as the book Al-Inṣāf fī mā Yajibu I'tiqāduhu wa lā Yajūzu al-Jahl bih fī 'Ilm al-Kalām by Imām al-Bāqilānī (d. 403H), Luma 'fī Qawā'id Ahl al-Sunnah by Imām al-Juwaynī (d. 478H), al-Tabṣīr fī al-Dīn by Imām al-Isfarāyīnī (d. 418H), al-Asmā' wa al -Ṣifāt by Imām al-Baihaqī (d. 458H), Al-'Aqīdah al-Nasafīyyah by Imām al-Nasafī (d. 537H) and others. These works clearly discuss the position and importance of the three laws of 'aql namely; al-wujūb, al-istiḥālah and al-jawāz to explain the attributes of divinity and also in the prophetic chapter. Explaining the details of the debate in this regard, Sa'id Fūdah emphasizes that al-Wājib Fī al-Aqlīs defined as a matter which is not accepted by the 'aql its absence such as the attributes that are obligatory for Dhāt Allahis or the existence of a child younger than the mother. al-Mustaḥīl Fī al-Aqlī on the other hand is things that are not accepted by 'aql of its existence such as the impossible attributes of Dhāt Allahis or the existence of a child older than the mother. Whereas al-Jā'iz Fī al-Aqlī is a matter accepted by 'aql of its existence and non-existence such as the creation of a creature (makhlūq) by Allahis or the existence of a son-in-law older than the mother-in-law (Sa'id Fūdah 1998).

In relation to *naql*, it is divided into three types namely al-Quran, hadith and *ijmā*. All the scholars of Ahli Sunnah Waljamaah adhere to the priority of *naql* over 'aql because' 'aql cannot be alone except with the help of *naql*. Likewise *naql*cannot be understood except with 'aql. In important issues, such as the issue of interacting with the verse of *mutashābihāt*, then one cannot use 'aql alone, but rather requires a *naql* of *muhkamāt* status so as not to fall into *tajsīm* and *tashbīh*. The use of the al-Qur'an as the main *naql* evidence in the argumentation of the creed is an obligation since the al-Qur'anis the main source of Islamic references. This is well known among the public as well as scholars. The argument of the al-Qur'an in matters related to religion is based on the verses of the al-Qur'an itself, Allah & says (Surah al-Nisā '4:59):

O you who have believed, obey Allah and obey the Messenger and those in authority among you. And if you disagree over anything, refer it to Allah and the Messenger, if you should believe in Allah and the Last Day. That is the best [way] and best in result.

Next is the hadith which is the second source of creed($aq\bar{\imath}dah$) after the al-Qur'an. There are several texts in the al-Qur'an that need clarification through hadith quoted from Rasulullation. In the science of $aq\bar{\imath}dah$, the use of hadith as a proof($dal\bar{\imath}l$) is limited. Only hadiths in $Mutaw\bar{\imath}tir$ status are accepted as arguments in the creed. $Had\bar{\imath}th$ $Mutaw\bar{\imath}tir$ is defined by hadith scholars as a hadith narrated by three or more narrators where it is impossible for them to agree to commit a lie. Shaykh Maḥmūd Shaltūt mentioned that the hadiths used for the matters of creed must be from a source that is truly believed come from Rasulullation and free from any doubt (Shaltūt 2007). In addition to this, according to al-Āmidī there are two conditions that have been agreed upon by the scholars for $Had\bar{\imath}th$ $Mutaw\bar{\imath}tir$ in producing confidence in the news of the hadith that is from the narrator and what is heard. That is, the narrators must be many and it is impossible for them to agree to lie and what is heard must be clear and witnessed by themselves (al-Baghdādī 1928). As for the use of $Had\bar{\imath}th$ Ahad, the majority of scholars are said that it cannot be used as $dal\bar{\imath}t$ in the creed unless only some scholars allow the use of $Had\bar{\imath}th$ $Had\bar$

The last source is $ijm\bar{a}$ ' which is also the source for the formation of one's religious thinking. $Ijm\bar{a}$ ' is the agreement of the mujtahids on a religious matter as explained by al-Khāṭib al-Baghdādī (d. 463H) (al-Baghdādī 1975):

 $Ijm\bar{a}$ 'ahlul ijtihad in every age is an argument from the arguments of shar' \bar{i} and is also a proof($dal\bar{\imath}l$) of many evidences ($dal\bar{\imath}l$ - $dal\bar{\imath}l$) for the laws that are $Oat'\bar{\imath}$

Similar to him is the contemporary scholar in the field of creed namely Shaykh 'Abd Allāh al-Hararī (al-Hararī 2009). Moreover, the argument of *ijmā* 'in matters of creed is clear as mentioned by Abū Manṣūr al-Baghdādī (d. 429H) namely (al-Baghdādī t.t):

Ahli Sunnah Waljamaah, agree that the source of Islamic laws is the al-Qur'an, Sunnah and the *ijmā'al-salaf*.

Ijmā as a proof (dalīl) in religion is as mentioned by al-Ḥākim in his book al-Mustadrak (1997):

Indeed, we have mentioned as many as nine hadiths with authentic *sanad* in which the hadiths show *ijmā* 'and I have researched them thoroughly for the purpose of adapting them to the [description] of the schools of the previous imams r.a"

As a result, Ahli Sunnah Waljamaah rejects heretical groups that abort one of these sources of law. For example, Ahli Sunnah Waljamaah objected to al-Sumāniyyah who prioritized the aspect of the senses alone in matters of creed and at the same time rejected the use of 'aql (al-Isfarāyīnī 1983), al-Sufasţa'iyyah who doubted the ability and capability of 'aql argument (al-Taftāzānī 1988), al-Hashwiyyah who oppose the use of 'aql in understanding the religion even they only adhere to the zahir al-Quran and Sunnah and al-Mu'tazilah who have prioritized 'agl alone in understanding the creed (al-Maghribī 1995).

Summary of the Narration of Hadīth Jābir

With regard to the narration of *Hadīth Jābir*, the text of this hadith has many narrations such as the narration by al-'Ajlūnī (N.d), al-'Amīrī (N.d), al-Ghumārī (N.d), al-Qastallānī (1991), al-Laknawī (1984) and al-Hararī (2001). The text that is the basis for the construction of the faith of Nūr Muhammad is as quoted by al-Laknawī (1984) and others as follows:

"From Jābir r.a said:"I said: O Messenger of Alla بيالية, my mother and father as a hostage for you, explain to me about the first thing created by Allah before anything?"So the Prophet replied: "O Jābir, indeed Allah has created the Nūr of your Prophet from His Nūr before anything .."

The text of Hadith Jābir in the narrations that have been mentioned before is also the same from the point of view of its essence that the first creature that Allah & created was Nūr Muhammad before other things were created. This $N\bar{u}r$ Muhammad was created by Allah $\frac{1}{4}$ from His $N\bar{u}r$.

Islamic Creed Based on the Hadith of Jābir

Guided by this *Ḥadīth Jābir*, then there are some of them among the Muslims who make it a phenomenon of creed in the world of Sufism and sects such as 'Abd al-Karīm al-Jīlī (d. 1365) who came from Iraq in his famous and controversial work al-Insān al-Kāmil, Nūr al-Dīn 'Abd al-Rahmān al-Jāmī (d. 1492) who came from Persia through his poems as well as Hamzah Fansuri (d. 1590) who came from Indonesia. In addition there are also works that talk about Nūr Muḥammad in the Malay Archipelago such as Madārij al-Su'īud by Shaykh Nawawi al-Bantāni (d. 1897), Kashf al-Ghaybiyyah by Shaykh Zayn al-'Ābidīn al-Faṭāni (d. 1913) as well as al-Kawkab al-Durri fi al-Nūr al-Muhammadī by Shaykh Muhammad ibn Ismā'īl Dāwūd al-Fatāni (d. 1915). There are basically three types of views on the theme of *Nūr Muhammad* namely:

- Those who believe that this $N\bar{u}r$ Muhammad is a part of $Dh\bar{a}t$ Allah because it is a part of His $N\bar{u}r$ and this *Nūr Muhammad* is the origin of the creation of everything;
- b) Those who believe that this $N\bar{u}r$ Muḥammad is the light created by Allah $d\bar{u}$ from His $N\bar{u}r$, it is Glorious and is the Reality of Muhammad and not the Prophet Muhammad مطيالله. Because of this Glory, God جلي created other beings;
- Those who oppose the belief in Nūr Muhammad at once strongly criticize this matter either from the side of creed or the side of the narration of *Hadīth Jābir*.

Al-Ghumārī andAl-Hararī

This writing will focus on critiques by two contemporary figures who have mastered various fields including the fields of hadith and creed. Al-Ghumārī (d. 1993) or real name Shaykh 'Abd Allāh ibn Muhammad ibn al-Siddig al-Ghumārī is a famous hadith figure who came from Morocco. He came from the al-Ghumārī family which is no stranger to the Muslim community in Morocco as a large family that is very concerned with the mastery of knowledge, especially the science of hadith. While another, al-Hararī (d. 2008) or real name Shaykh 'Abd Allāh ibn Muhammad ibn Yūsuf al-Hararī is a prolific figure in producing works on the theme of creed but his expertise in the field of hadith is also recognized by scholars of his time. He is originally from Harar, Africa but spent most of his life in Beirut, Lebanon.

Al-Ghumārī and al-Hararī were very similar in dealing with the issues of Islamic creed in their time. Among the issues that are the focus of these two figures are with respect to the beliefs of hulūl, ittihād, tashbīh and tajsīm. The ideologies opposed by these two figures are usually guided by the apparent mutashābihāt texts either from hadith or the al-Qur'an. It is common for the proponents of this ideology to set aside the method of Ahli Sunnah Waljamaah which is based on the concept of pure tanzīh, which is to purify Allah from any resemblance to His creatures in total. The arguments put forward by these two figures in their debates and critical works silence the proponents of these ideologies. This can be seen through the famous debates of his time such as al-Hararī and Muhammad Nāsir al-Dīn al-Albānī (d. 1999). The occurrence of their debate with al-Albānī is because he is often considered a scholar of hadith by the proponents of the ideology of tashbīh and tajsīm or better known as al-Wahhābiyyah. This group of al-Wahhābiyyah attribute the mass, direction and place to the rights of Allah. With powerful arguments, these two figures were able to break this ideology easily. This recording of al-Hararī's debate can be accessed on the internet.

Not stopping at face-to-face debates, al-Ghumārī and al-Hararī also criticized al-Albānī and the al-Wahhābiyyah movement through their writings. Al-Ghumārī wrote al-Qawl al-Muqni'fī al-Rad' alā al-Albānī al-Mubtadi'to criticize Albānī, al-Rad al-Muḥkam al-Matīn' alā al-Qawl al-Mubīn to argue al-Wahhābiyyah who reject the practice of Tawassul. Al-Hararī, on the other hand, authored al-Ta'aqub al-Ḥathīth 'alā Man Ṭa'ana fī mā Ṣaḥḥa min al-Ḥadīth to reject al-Albānī's thinking which tends to assess the status of hadith based on the ideology he holds, Sarīḥ al-Bayān fī al- Rad 'alā man Khālafa al-Qur'ān to argue the beliefs of deviant groups including al-Wahhābiyyah and al-Maqālāt al-Sunniyyah fī Kashf Þalālāt Aḥmad ibn Taymiyyah to explain the creed of Ibn Taimiyiyyah which is the main reference of al-Wahhābiyyah.

In addition to al-Wahhābiyyah thought, these two figures are also great in opposing those who hide behind Sufi clothing, but carry beliefs that are contrary to the real Sufi creedof Ahli Sunnah Waljamaah. This group is known as al-Mutaṣawwifah who are inclined to the doctrines of hulūl and ittihād. The doctrine brought by them leads to the belief that nature can be united with God \$\frac{1}{26}\$, or God \$\frac{1}{26}\$ is nature, or God \$\frac{1}{26}\$ permeates in a person's body to the point that a person claims to be God \$\frac{1}{26}\$ because his body has been permeated by God Allah. This group also pioneered the first doctrine of \$N\bar{u}r\$ Muḥammad, which is to believe that \$N\bar{u}r\$ Muḥammad is a part of \$Dh\bar{u}t\$ Allah\$\frac{1}{26}\$ because it is a part of His N\bar{u}r\$ and this \$N\bar{u}r\$ Muḥammad is the origin of the creation of everything. With this conviction they claim that when a person has reached the highest level of Sufism then he will be united with Allah\$\frac{1}{26}\$, or Allah\$\frac{1}{26}\$ incarnates in them, or they become Allah\$\frac{1}{26}\$. Since this belief is a false belief on the part of the \$Ahl\$ al-Ḥaq in the world of tasawwuf, then these two figures also rose up against this belief of \$al-Mutaṣawwifah\$. History records that al-Ghumārī and al-Hararī were from among the \$Ahl\$ al-Khawwāṣ in the world of Sufism. Al-Ghumārī is the Shaykh of the al-Ṣiddiqiyyah sect which is a branch of al-Shādhiliyyah / al-Shādhuliyyah while al-Hararī is the Shaykh of the al-Rifā'iyyah and al-Qādiriyyah sects. So it is not an anomaly for these two figures to criticize the ideology that has long been entrenched in the \$al-Mutaṣawwifah\$ movement.

Al-Ghumārī and al-Hararī's Critique of Hadīth Jābir

- a) The validity of $\underline{\mathcal{H}ad\bar{\imath}th} \ J\bar{a}bir$, so that it can be a proof $(dal\bar{\imath}l)$ of Islamic creed because in the side of the Islamic creed scholars, only $\underline{\mathcal{H}ad\bar{\imath}th} \ Mutaw\bar{a}tir$ and $\underline{\mathcal{H}ad\bar{\imath}th} \ Sah\bar{\imath}h$ which do not contradict the scholars views on its $r\bar{a}w\bar{\imath}$ can be used as the basis of the proof; and
- b) The language of Ḥadīth Jābir in the text of Ḥadīth Jābir itself does not reach the high standards of the Arabic spoken by Rasulullah so that the meaning of the hadith text can have great implications in Islamic creed.

From the point of view of sanad or chain of narration, according to al-Ghumārī in Mulḥiq Qaṣīdah al-Burdah (N.d) and al-Hararī in Risālah fī Buṭlān Da'wā Awwaliyyāt al-Nūr al-Muḥammadī (2001), this Ḥadīth Jābir is not in the book hadith which is authoritative (mu'tabar) and there is no chain of sanad connected as mentioned by al-Suyūṭī in his book al-Hāwī li al-Fatāwa fī al-Fiqh wa 'Ulūm al-Tafsīr wa al-Ḥadīth wa al-Uṣūl wa al-Nahwi wa al-I 'rāb wa Sā'ir al-Funūn (N.d). This Ḥadīth of Jābir its narration ceases to a rāwī named 'Abd al-Razzāq who took from Jābir ibn' Abd Allāh from Rasulullath. Proponents of the doctrine of Nūr Muḥammad claim that this Ḥadīth Jābir is found in the book al-Muṣannaf by 'Abd al-Razzāq. However, some prominent hadith scholars namely Shaykh al-Ḥadīth Mawlānā Yūnus Jawnpūrī from India in his work al-Yawāqit al-Ghaliyyah (2001) and Maḥmūd al-Ḥasan Gangohī also from India in Fatāwā Maḥmūdiyyah (N.d) in line with al- al-Harari. Therefore, they condemn this Ḥadīth Jābir as Ḥadīth Mauḍū' and cannot be a source of creed.

Apart from the *sanad* side, al-Ghumārī and al-Hararī also criticize the meaning of *Matn* or the text of *Ḥadīth Jābir* which contradicts the more *Qaṭ'ī*evidences(*dalīl*) namely al-Quran and Ḥadīth Ṣaḥīḥ. Among their arguments is *Ḥadīth Ṣaḥīḥ* narrated by Al-Bukhārī that Rasululla said (al-Bukhari 1987: 3045):

Allah 歳 already exists and nothing is co-existent with the existence of Allah 歳, and then Allah 歳 created Arash on water

This Ḥadīth Ṣaḥīḥ proves that Allah is first created water before creating 'Arash because usually the foundation of something is created first before creating something on it. This Ḥadīth Ṣaḥīḥ is also interpreted with another Ḥadīth Ṣaḥīḥ narrated by Ibn Ḥibbān that the Prophetics said (Ibn Ḥibbān1993: 2559):

"Everything is created from water"

This *Ḥadīth Ṣaḥīḥ* coincides with the word of Allah (Surah al-Anbiyā'21:30):

"Have those who disbelieved not considered that the heavens and the earth were a joined entity, and We separated them and made from water every living thing? Then will they not believe?"

According to al-Ghumārī and al-Hararī, hadith scholars agree that when a narration of hadith whose text contradicts with a more Qat \bar{i} evidences($dal\bar{i}l$) and it is impossible to unify its meaning, then automatically the hadith will become $Had\bar{i}th$ $Maud\bar{u}$ because it is impossible for Rasulullah said something that contradicted the religious evidence ($dal\bar{i}l$). In the case of $Had\bar{i}th$ $J\bar{a}bir$, when the text of the hadith contradicts verse 30 of Surah al-Anbiyā ', then $Had\bar{i}th$ $J\bar{a}bir$ is considered $Had\bar{i}th$ $Had\bar{i}th$ H

"This was the beginning of creation before the creation of the heavens and the earth"

This explains 'Abd al-Razzāq's opinion that water was the first creation created by Allah $\frac{1}{3}$ and not $N\bar{u}r$ Muhammad.

Apart from talking about the authenticity of Ḥadīth Jābir which is considered Mauḍū 'by these two figures, they also talk about Arabic Language in the text of Ḥadīth Jābir which does not reach the high standard of Arabic spoken by Rasulullath. Al-Ghumārī and al-Hararī respectively in their works Murshid al-Hā'ir li Bayān Waḍ'ī Ḥadīth Jābir and Risālah fī Buṭlān Da'wā Awwaliyyāt al-Nūr al-Muḥammadī explain that the language used in Ḥadīth Jābir is low and this condition is referred to as Rakākah or Rakākah Rakkah al-Uslūb and this Rakākah becomes one of the reasons why Ḥadīth Jābir is considered Mauḍū'or at least Ḍa'īf. This is because the Prophethed was a native speaker of Arabic and it is unlikely that the language used was inconsistent with Arabic grammar. If there is Rakākah in the speech of the Prophet, this will affect the quality of the Prophethed as the Messenger of Allah who conveys the law of Allah &. Completing both, Rakākah in this Ḥadīth Jābir is seen in the hadith text"Allah & has created your Prophet's Nūr from His Nūr before anything"

The focus of the $Rak\bar{a}kah$ debate is on al- $Id\bar{a}fah$ in the text "His $N\bar{u}r$ ". The first condition is $Dam\bar{u}r$ "Ha' (His)" in the text of this hadith, if returned to Allah with the understanding of $Id\bar{a}fah$ Juz'iyyah as if a person says 'my hand', it means that the hand is a part of himself. So the understanding of the word 'His $N\bar{u}r$ ' is a light that is part of $Dh\bar{a}t$ Allah while $Dh\bar{a}t$ Allah is not light. The understanding of $Had\bar{u}t$ $Had\bar{u}t$ with $Had\bar{u}t$ is not mass whereas light is mass. Only the mass accepts the division of the $Had\bar{u}t$. This is because Allah is not mass whereas light is mass. Only the mass accepts the division of the $Had\bar{u}t$ $Had\bar{u}t$ $Had\bar{u}t$ is not mass whereas light is mass.

The second situation is that if the word "His $N\bar{u}r$ " is understood with the understanding of $Id\bar{a}fah$ Milkiyyah or return ($Id\bar{a}fah$)to the something that indicates its ownership as if someone says 'my book', it means that the book is his. So damir "His $N\bar{u}r$ " is returned to a light created by Allah and that light is the first while $N\bar{u}r$ Muhammad is the second. This is because the text of $Had\bar{u}th$ $J\bar{a}bir$ states that $N\bar{u}r$ Muhammad was created from His $N\bar{u}r$, it is clear here that His $N\bar{u}r$ preceded the creation before $N\bar{u}r$ Muhammad. This second situation makes it seem as if Rasululla did not understand what was revealed by the Prophet himself and this is impossible to happen to Rasululla South S

Analysis of al-Ghumārī and al-Hararī's Criticism of Ḥadīth Jābir

Based on the explanations of these two figures, this $Had\bar{\imath}th$ $J\bar{a}bir$ was originally not a hadith that could be used as a foundation of Islamic creed. There are many weaknesses that arise in this hadith that cause it to be left out based on the second view. Regarding the validity of $Had\bar{\imath}th$ $Had\bar{\imath}th$ Ha

Moreover, the main focus of why this *Ḥadīth Jābir* is harshly criticized by both is because the implications that will arise are related to creed. There are two negative implications that arise if this *Ḥadīth Jābir* becomes a belief:

- a) Bringing the faith that Rasulullah عليه was created by Allah جلاله from light; or
- b) Bringing the faith that this Nūr Muḥammad is a part of Dhāt Allah

The belief that Rasululla was created by Allah from light is very contrary to Islamic creed. This is because it is clear in the al-Qur'an that Rasululla was created as a human being who was definitely from the descendants of the son of Prophet Adam.

Prophet Adam himself was created from clay (tīn) as Allah says (Surah al-Isrā '17: 61):

And [mention] when We said to the angles, "Prostrate to Adam," and they prostrated, except for Iblees. He said, "Should I prostrate to one You created from clay?"

Then all human beings who are the descendants of Prophet Adam were created from clay as Allah says (Surah Sād 38:71):

[So mention] when your Lord said to the angels, "Indeed, I am going to create a human being from clay.

All the Prophets and Messengers sent by Allah are also human beings who are the descendants of Prophet Adam as His word (Surah al-Isrā' 17: 95):

Say, "If there were upon the earth angels walking securely, We would have sent down to them from the heaven an angel [as a] messenger."

With the clear evidence and proof from these verses of the al-Qur'an, it is clear that Rasululla was a human being created from clay but he has the highest glory in the sight of Allah ...

Should happen to them what should happen to other human beings such as eating and drinking, getting married, having children. al-Laqqānī said (al-Ḥusaynī 2016):

"God sent prophets and messengers from among mankind"

"And it should be in their rights such as eating and having sexwith women who are halāl for him"

The creed scholars of Ahli Sunnah Waljamaah agree on the above definition, and this definition invalidates the belief that Rasululla was created from light. If he describes Rasululla as light from the point of view of majāzī, then it carries the true meaning which is that which brings the guidance of truth, bringing man out of darkness to the light of guidance. This is not at all contrary to the beliefs of Ahli Sunnah Waljamaah. However, if the belief that Rasululla was created from light based on Hadith Jābir, then it is invalid on the part of Ahli Sunnah Waljamaah.

Regarding the second implication that this belief about $N\bar{u}r$ Muhammad is a part of $Dh\bar{a}t$ Allah, this is clearly a belief that contradicts the beliefs of Ahli Sunnah Waljamaah. If one insists that $N\bar{u}r$ Muhammad was the first to be created, and $N\bar{u}r$ Muhammad was created from His $N\bar{u}r$ as has been explained before, then there will be two conditions. Whether His $N\bar{u}r$ is the first creature, then it invalidates the opinion of the first $N\bar{u}r$ Muhammad, because there is a $N\bar{u}r$ who initiated the creation of $N\bar{u}r$ Muhammad. If it is said that $N\bar{u}r$ Muhammad was created from His $N\bar{u}r$ which is $Dh\bar{u}t$ Allah, then this belief leads to the understanding that $Dh\bar{u}t$ Allah is light. The belief that $Dh\bar{u}t$ Allah is the light leads to the understanding of $Tajs\bar{u}m$ and $Tashb\bar{u}h$. While Allah says (Surah al-Syūrā 42: 11):

"There is nothing like unto Him, and He is the Hearing, the Seeing".

The mass according to the creed scholars is divided into two namely *Jism al-Laṭīf* and *Jism al-Kathīf*. *Jism al-Laṭīf* is every thing that cannot be grasped with the hand such as light, air, darkness and so on. While *Jism al-Kathīf* is everything that can be grasped with the hand such as stone and wood. Whereas According to Imām Ahmad bin Hanbal, mass is defined as follows (al-Tamīmī 2001):

"Indeed, the names of Allah is are taken from the *Shara*' and from the language. As for the linguist (Arabic) put the name (i.e. mass) with the meaning of everything that has a measure of height, a measure of width, joined from limbs, has a shape and so on, while Allah is Glory be to such (has no limbs and so on) So, it is not permissible to name Allah is with mass because Allah is Exalted from the meaning of mass (no limbs, no measure, no form etc.) and the Shari'ah does not mention it, then that is a mistake(tajsīm)

The true creed of Muslims is to deny that Allah is mass. The denial that Allah is has mass is the *ijma* of the Ulama as stated by Abū al-Ḥasan al-Ash'arī in al-*Luma*, Shaykh Mahmūd Khattāb al-Subkī in his book *Ithāf al-Kāināt* and Imām Mullā 'Alī al-Qārī in *Mirqāt al-Mafātīḥ Sharḥ Mishkāt al-Masābīḥ*. The limbs obligate mass to Allah is, thus Allah is clean from mass (al-Hararī 2004). This is because every thing that has mass intends to specialize it with a characteristic to it such as measure, size and color for Him. It is Allah who distinguishes these masses with such characteristics. If it is said that God is mass, then of course there are those who characterize God with a measure, size and color for Him, and this is impossible because, something that is specified is weak, the weak is not worthy to be God. Allah is clean from weakness.

If $N\bar{u}r$ Muhammad is believed to be part of Allah $\frac{1}{3}$, then of course before he separates from Allah $\frac{1}{3}$, he is a part of Allah $\frac{1}{3}$. Each of these separated and united has basically denied $Tawh\bar{\iota}d$ concept. It is not the meaning of $Tawh\bar{\iota}d$ that God is united or separated with His creatures because unity or separation with the creatures themselves denies the meaning of $Tawh\bar{\iota}d$ in essence. Each that unites and separates is a network of masses consists from jawhar. Mass according to the scholars of $Tawh\bar{\iota}d$ is consists from two or more Jawhar (al-Bājurī 2010). This Jawhar if it is singular then it is referred to as Jawhar al-Fard, while if it is consists from two or more Jawhar, then it is known as mass. This is explained by Imām Murtaḍá al-Zabīdī al-Hanafi (al-Zabīdī 1989):

"Indeed, mass is something that has length, width and depth, said al-Raghib and others: Mass is something that consists of two jawhar (cells) or more, said other scholars: Mass is jawhar that gathers, and Allah is Exalted from mass, desiring mass, nor accepting division (seperation) as mass, whoever equates Allah SWT with mass then he is clearly misleading"

Conclusion

Based on the brief analysis above, it is clear that this belief in Nūr Muhammad was built on a very loose foundation. It was built solely on the basis of Hadīth Jābir which was condemned as Hadīth Maudū'by scholars in the field of hadith itself such as al-Ghumārī in Mulḥiq Qaṣīdah al-Burdah (N.d) and al-Hararī in Risālah fī Buṭlān Da'wā Awwaliyyāt al-Nūr al-Muhammadī (2001), al-Suyūtī in his book al-Hāwī li al-Fatāwa fī al-Figh wa 'Ulūm al-Tafsīr wa al-Ḥadīth wa al-Uṣūl wa al-Nahwi wa al-I'rāb wa Sā' ir al-Funūn (N.d), Shaykh al-Ḥadīth Mawlānā Yūnus Jawnpūrī in his work al-Yawāqit al-Ghaliyyah (2001) and Maḥmūd al-Hasan Gangohī in Fatāwā Maḥmūdiyyah (N.d). Basically, *Ḥadīth Maudū* 'is known not to be a source of *Tawhīd*. So the belief in this should not be a Muslim creed. Moreover, this belief in Nūr Muḥammad leads to the denial of the Qur'anic verse itself which explains that Rasululla عليه و was created from clay not light.

References

- 'Abd al-Razzāq. Tafsīr 'Abd al-Razzāq. N.d. Vol. 2. N.p. N.p.
- al-Asfarāyinī, Shahāfur bin Ṭahir. 1940. al-Tabşir fi al-Dīn. Kaherah: Maṭba'ah al-Anwār.
- al-Baghdādī, Abū Bakr Aḥmad bin 'Ali bin Thābit al-Khāṭib. 1975. al-Faqih wa al-Mutafaqqih. N.p.: Dār Iḥyā' al-Sunnah al-Nabawiyyah.
- al-Baghdādī, Abū Manşūr 'Abd al-Qāhir bin Tahir. 1928. *Uṣūl al-Dīn*. Istanbul: Maṭba'ah Dawlah.
- al-Baghdādī, Abū Manşūr 'Abd al-Qāhir bin Ṭahir. al-Farq Bainā al-Firaq. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah.
- al-Baqillānī, al-Qāḍī Abū Bakr bin al-Ṭayyib. 2007. al-Inṣāf fi mā Yajib I'tiqāduh wa lā Yajūz al-Jahl bih fī 'Ilm al-Kalām. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah.
- al-Bayjūrī, Ibrāhīm bin Muḥammad al-Jizāwī. 2010. Hāshiyah al-Imām al-Bayjūri 'ala Jawharah al-Tawhīd, ed.'Ali Jum'ah Muhammad. Kaherah: Dar al-Salam.
- al-Bukhārī, Muḥammad bin Ismail. 1987. Al-Jāmic al-Ṣaḥiḥ al-Mukhtaṣar. 6 Juz. Bayrut: Dār Ibn Kathir.
- al-Ghumārī, 'Abd Allāh bin Muḥammad bin Ṣiddīq N.d. Murshid al-Hā'ir li Bayān Waḍ'ī Hadīth Jābir. N.p. Qism al-Abhāth wa al-Dirāsāt Islāmiyyah
- al-Ghumārī, 'Abd Allāh bin Muḥammad bin Şiddīq. N.d. Mulhiq Qaşīdah al-Burdah.N.p: N.p.
- al-Ḥakim, Abū 'Abd Allāh. 1997. al-Mustadrak 'ala al-Ṣaḥiḥayn.Vol. 1. Kaherah: Dār al-Ḥaramayn li al-Ṭibā 'ah wa al-Nashr wa al-Tawzī '.
- al-Hararī, 'Abd Allāh. 2001. Risālah fi Butlān Da'wā Awwaliyyāt al-Nūr al-Muḥammadī. Beirut: Sharikah Dār al-Mashārī' li al-Ṭaba'ah wa al-Nashr wa al-Tawzī'.
- al-Hararī, 'Abd Allāh. 2004. Sharh al-Qawīm fi Hāl Alfāz al-Sirāt al-Mustagīm. Beirut: Sharikah Dār al-Mashārī' li al-Taba'ah wa al-Nashr wa al-Tawzī'.
- al-Hararī, 'Abd Allāh. 2004. Sharḥ al-Qawīm fi Ḥāl Alfāz al-Ṣirāt al-Mustaqīm. Beirut: Sharikah Dār al-Mashārī' li al-Taba'ah wa al-Nashr wa al-Tawzī'.
- al-Husaynī, Jamīl Muḥammad 'Alī Ḥalīm. 2016. Tashīl al-Ma'ānī ila Jawharah al-Laqqānī. Beirut: Sharikah Dār al-Mashārī' li al-Ṭaba'ah wa al-Nashr wa al-Tawzī'.
- Ibn Ḥibbān, Muḥammad bin AḥmadAbūḤātim. 1993. Ṣaḥīḥ Ibn Ḥibbān Bi TartībIbnBalbān. Pnyt. Shucayb al-Arna'ūt. 18 Juz. Bayrūt: Mu'assasah al-Risālah
- al-Isfarāyīnī, Abū Muzaffar Shahafūr bin Ṭahir. 1983. al-Tabṣīr fi al-Dīn. Beirut: 'Ālim al-Kutub.
- al-Khātib, 'Abd al-Karim. 1962. Qadiyyah al-Ulūhiyyah bayna al-Falsafah wa al-Dīn. Kaherah: Matābi' Dār al-Kitāb al-'Arabī bi Misr.
- al-Laknāwī, 'Abd Ḥay bin Muḥammad 'Abd al-Ḥalīm. 1984. al-Athār alMatfu'ah fi al-Akhbār al-Mauḍū'ah. Taḥqīq Abū Ḥājir Muḥammad al-Sa'īd bin Basyūni Zaghlul. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah.
- al-Maghribī, 'Alī 'Abd al-Fattāḥ. 1995. al-Firaq al-Kalāmiyyah al-Islāmiyyah. Kaherah: Maktabah al-Wahbah.
- al-Suyūṭī, 'Abd al-Raḥmān. 1975. al-Hāwī li al-Fatāwa fī al-Fiqh wa 'Ulūm al-Tafsīr wa al-Ḥadīth wa al-Uṣūl wa al-Nahwi wa al-l'rāb wa Sā'ir al-Funūn. Vol. 1. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah.
- al-Suyūṭī, 'Abd al-Raḥmān. 1981. al-Lalāli' al-Maṣnu'ah Fi al-Aḥādīth Mauḍū'ah. Vol. 2. Beirut: Dār al-Ma'arif.
- al-Taftāzānī, Sa'd al-Dīn Mas'ūd bin 'Umar. 1988. Sharḥ al-'Āgaid al-Nasafīyyah. Kaherah: Maktabah al-Kulliyāt al-Azhariyyah.
- al-Tamīmī, Abū al-Fadl Abd al-Wahīd bin Abd al-Azīz bin al-Harith. 2001. I'tiqād al-Imām al-Munabbal Abī 'Abd Allāh Ahmad bin Hanbal. Vol. 2. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-Ilmiyyah.
- al-Zabīdī, Murtaḍā al-Ḥusaynī. 1989. Itḥāf al-Sādah al-Muttaqīn Sharḥ Ihyā''Ulūm al-Dīn. Vol. 1. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah.
- Fūdah, Sa'īd 'Abd al-Laṭīf. 1998. *Tahdhīb Sharh al-Sanūsiyyah: Umm al-Barahin*. Jordan: Dār al-Biyariq Gangohī, Maḥmūd al-Ḥasan. N.d. Fatāwā Maḥmūdiyyah. Vol. 12.N.p. N.p.

Jawnpūrī, Shaykh al-Ḥadīth Mawlānā Yūnus. 2001. al-Yawāqit al-Ghaliyyah. Vol. 2.N.p: N.p.

KH Siradjuddin Abbas. 2010. Sejarah dan Keagungan Madzhab Syafi'i. Jakarta: Pustaka Tarbiyah Baru.

Nūh 'Ali Salmān. 2003. *al-Mukhtaṣar al-Mufīd fi Sharḥ Jawharah al-Tawḥīd*. Kuala Lumpur: al-Bayan Corporation Sdn. Bhd.

Sha'bān, Muḥammad Ismā 'il. 1991. Ḥujjiyyah Khabar al-Aḥad fī al-'Aqīdah. Kaherah: Dār al-Nur bi Jiwār al-Azhār.

Shaltūt, Maḥmud. 2007. al-Islām 'Aqīdah wa Sharī'ah. Cetakan ke-29. Kaherah: Dār al-Shuruq.